United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

					r 				
Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge			Milton I.	Shadur	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CASE NUMBER			00 C 3	3552	DATE	6/16/2	.000		
CASE TITLE			USA ex rel. Erdogan Kurap vs. Donald Snyder, Jr.						
мот	ion:		[In the following box (a) i of the motion being preso		e motion, e.g., plaintiff, defe	ndant, 3rd party plaintiff, and	(b) state briefly the nature		
							<u></u>		
DOC	KET ENTRY	:							
(1)	☐ Fi	led n	notion of [use listing	in "Motion" box at	oove.]				
(2)	□ Br	Brief in support of motion due							
(3)	□ Aı	nswe	r brief to motion due	Reply to a	nswer brief due	•			
(4)	□ Ri	Ruling/Hearing on set for at							
(5)	□ St	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(6)	☐ Pr	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(7)	☐ Tr	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at							
(8)	□ [B	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at							
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] □ FRCP4(m) □ General Rule 21 □ FRCP41(a)(1) □ FRCP41(a)(2).							
(10)	prepayment of the filing fee is denied. Kurap is advised that unless he causes the \$5 filing fee to be paid on or before June 30, 2000 this Court would be constrained to dismiss the Petition for nonpayment. Kurap's motion for appointment of counsel is denied for the present, but without prejudice to its potential reassertion later on. Warden Donald Snyder, Jr. is ordered to file an answer or other pleading on or before July 14, 2000 addressing that and all other questions posed by the Petition.								
(11)				attached to the orig	gmai minute order.j		Document		
	No notices requi	No notices required, advised in open court.				number of notices	Number		
	Notices mailed by judge's staff.								
	Notified counsel by telephone.					JUN 1 9 2006			
1						15			
-	Mail AO 450 form. Copy to judge/magistrate judge.					docketing deputy initials			
	Copy to Juagem			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V	date mailed notice			
SN			courtroom deputy's	*					
			initials		ne received in Clerk's Office	mailing deputy initials			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel. ERDOGAN KURAP #B-42516,)		
Petitioner,)		
v.)	No.	00 C 3552
DONALD SNYDER, JR., et al.,)		
Respondents.)		

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Erdogan Kurap ("Kurap") has filed a self-prepared Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition"), for purposes of which he has both completed and supplemented the form Petition supplied by the Clerk of this District Court for use by persons in state custody. Unlike the usual state prisoner seeking federal habeas relief, Kurap challenges neither the constitutionality of his conviction nor the constitutionality of the sentence imposed-instead his contention is that he is being held unlawfully because a treaty between his native country (Turkey) and the United States requires that he be transferred back to Turkey to serve out his remaining Illinois sentence (and in that respect, 28 U.S.C. §2254(a)¹ permits habeas petitions to challenge a custodial status in violation of a treaty).

Kurap has accompanied his Petition with an Application To Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees ("Application") and a Motion

¹ All further references to Title 28's provisions will simply take the form "Section--."

for Appointment of Counsel ("Motion"). As to those documents:

- 1. Kurap has, as the statute requires, annexed to the Application a printout of his trust fund account at Menard Correctional Center. That printout discloses a balance of several hundred dollars—and so Kurap can readily pay the modest \$5 fee applicable to habeas cases. Accordingly the Application is denied, and although this opinion later directs a response to the Petition, Kurap is advised that unless he causes the \$5 filing fee to be paid on or before June 30, 2000 this Court would be constrained to dismiss the Petition for such nonpayment.
- 2. As for the Motion, Kurap has failed to fill out its most critical component: a statement of any efforts he has made to obtain counsel on his own. Even were that not the case, though, in all events this Court will be better equipped to address that issue when the responsive pleading referred to in the next paragraph is in hand. Hence the Motion is denied for the present, but without prejudice to its potential reassertion later on.

Because of the nature of Kurap's claim, this Court cannot determine at the threshold whether he has satisfied either alternative precondition (1) of the exhaustion of available state remedies (Section 2254(b)(1)(A)) or (2) of the absence of available state corrective process (Section 2254(b)(1)(B)).

Accordingly, as permitted by Rule 4 of the Rules Governing
Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts,
respondent Warden Donald Snyder, Jr. is ordered to file an answer
or other pleading on or before July 14, 2000 addressing that and
all other questions posed by the Petition. This Court will then
determine what further steps are called for.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Unlow D Sudur

Date: June 16, 2000